Appl. No. Obst31,460 Any Ducker No. 1943M Area. Deaded January 33, 2004 Regly on Plant Office Action Dused Documber 3, 2003 Computer Number 2732 5

## REMARKS

Claim 6 is anneaded to occopresse matter from Claims 1; to 5 to define the present chewing game compositions with even greater particularly. Calim 6 now specifies that the chewing gam composition provides conditioning effects to a subject's oral cavity surfaces and that the polymeric surface active agent provides such conditioning effects which thereby provide improved cleaning and smooth toods feel impression. Support for this amendment is found in original Claims 1:to 5 and in the Specification particularly at Pape 2, [inse 1:18 and a Pape 4 under the section Palymeric Springe Agity Amendment 1 and a Pape 2 in the 1-18 and a Pape 4 under the section Palymeric Springe Agity Amendment

Claims 1 to 5 are canceled without prejudee. Applicants reserve the right to pursue in a divisional application any subject matter cancelled as a result of these amendments.

Claim 12 is amended to delete the reference to "Glass H", which is replaced by reciting that the glassy polyphosphate has an average chain length of about 21.

Claims 13 and 16 are amended to recite that the metallic ion is astringency

Claim 14 is amended to insert the word "gum" to correct an inadvertent omission.

Claim 17 is amended to recite that the particulate polyphosphate provides surface

conditioning effects and a crunchy texture to the composition.

New Claims 49 and 50 are added defining a method of providing surface

conditioning effects to a subject's teeth and oral mucosa by administering a chewing gum composition according to Claims 6 and 17, respectively.

No new matter is involved with the above amendments to the claims. No

additional claims fee is known to be due as a result of these amendments.

By these amendments, Claims 6-17 and 26 to 50 remain pending in the supplication.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC § 112

The Examiner has rejected Claim 12 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as heing indefinite in reciting the term "Glass H" which is a tradename. Claim 12 new recites that the glassy polyphosphate has an average chain length of about 21, which is descriptive of the material Glass H.

Appl. No. 093531 462 Ally Docket No 1943M Arnst. Dored January 23, 2004 Reply to First Office Action Dated December 3, 2003 Customer Number 27752

Applicants submit that Claim 12 as amended is in full compliance with the requirements of 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC §102(b)/ §103(a)

Claims 1 to 5 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as anticipated by or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over US 4,808,401 or US 4,889,712 both to Guffar et al. It is contended that each of these references discloses chewing gum compositions including water soluble and water insoluble components, polymeric surfactant such as polyphosphate and metallic ions such as zinc. It is asserted that the surface conditioning effects are inherently provided when an individual chews the disclosed chewing gums and that any differences between the present compositions and Gaffar's chewing gums are obvious.

Claims 6 and 8 to 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,808,401 to Gaffar et al., which the Examiner contends discloses chewing sum components in amounts presently claimed.

Claims 7, 17 and 26 to 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over US 4,808,401 to Gaffar et al. The Examiner contends that finding the optimum aqueous solubility, chain length and hardness of the polyphosphate component would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in the art

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejection of the claims under 35 USC §102(b) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and submit that the claims as amended are distinct and unobvious from the cited art.

Applicants submit that there is no disclosure or any suggestion in the Gaffar patent with respect to formulating polymeric surface active agents such as linear polyphosphates to provide conditioning benefits to oral surfaces in a chewing gum composition, much less that such conditioning benefits or effects on the chemical characteristics of teeth and mucosal surfaces would be provided by the polymeric surface active agent and even less that such conditioning benefits would be consumer noticeable and provide remarkable cleaning impression and positive mouth feel characteristics for extended periods of time following use. Further there is no teaching or any suggestion whatsoever that such polymeric surface active agent would reduce the astringency of metallic ions when formulated in the present chewing gum compositions but would not Appl. No. 09:131,463 Any Decker No. 7942M Aresis, Direct Inscary 23, 3004 Reply to Prot Office Action Dood December 3, 3003 Customer Number 27752

significantly reduce the efficacy of the metallic ions. Notifier is there any teaching or suggestion that a particulate polyphosphate used as the polymeric nurfuce active agent would provide a complex status to the product which has throughout the limital minutes of manifeadon and which disappears over time due to the solubility characteristics of the notworknotchale learning nor gifty reliable.

Firstly, Claims 1 to 5 are cancelled and thus the Examiner's rejection of these claims under 35 USC \$102(b) as anticipated by or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as obvious over US 4,808,401 or US 4,889,712 both to Gaffar et al. will not be addressed at this time.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of Claims 6 and 8 to 16 as now amended under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated and of Claims 7, 17 and 26 to 48 as now amended under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over US 4,808,401 to Gaffar et al.

US 4,808,001 to Caffer discloses an improved method of inhibiting dental called by applying to the text an end composition containing in an only acceptable vehicle one or a minute of linear moticularly dishydered polyphosphare salts comprising water soluble alkali metal houmsetphosphates as exemital untercalvata agents, and amounts of a fluoristic on source saffidires to supply shoot 25 pm to about 2000 ppm of flounds ton, the improvement wherein saltivary hydrolysis of P-O-P bonds in said houmsetphosphates by phosphatase enzymes is inhibited consisting essentially of including in and composition an effective inhibiting amount therefore within the range of about 0.05 to about 3 vs. % of a water polishe alkali metal or ammonium symbotic annoles linear probriet polisystochystic.

Clearly Gatfar's disclosure focuses only on improving the anticelulus efficacy of hexanetaphosphates by including in the composition a linear polymeric polyserised to inhibit the allways bydrodysis of the fr-O-P bonds in the beatmentsphosphate. There is no disclosure or suggestion whatstoever in Gatfar's that the present polyphosphate or other polymeric series outtre agent would provide in a footwing game composition of surface conditioning benefits resulting from altering the surface chemical characteristics of teeth and muscala surfaces; (2) remarkable cleaning impression and positive mounthed reflects for extended periods of time following use of the chewing gam; and (3) robaction of astringency conferred by metallic since components without significantly reducing the reflector of such metallic ions. Neither the there any disclosure or suggestions with respect Appl. No. 99831,462 Appl. Docker No. 7943M Aradi, Dated Issuery 13, 2004 Reply to Fire Office Action Dated December 3, 2003 Customer Nation 27752

to the present particulate polyphosphates that confer a crunchy texture to the product which lests throughout the initial minutes of mastication and which disappears over time due to the solubility characteristics of the polyphosphate leaving no gritty residue.

Purcher, Gaffar's compositions require a fluoride source and a water soluble alkali metal or ammonium synthetic antonic linear polymeric polycarboxylate to be combined with the hexametaphosphate for improved anticalculus efficacy. The present claimed compositions do not require these components.

Applicants submit that Claims 6 and 8 to 16 as now presented, are novel over offer or al. Applicants further submit and Claims 1,7 and 26 to 48 are not obvious over Griffer et al. There is no recognition in Guffer of the destribility of clumping the chemical surface chamcieristics of oral surfaces and thereby providing positive monthled fetters. Abones used her recognition, Cuffer could not have made obvious the present claimed compositions and methods using a polymeric surface active agent such as a polyphosphate no provide these benefits as well as the additional benefit or redecing astringency conferred by metallic form if present. Guffer provides no motivation whatsomer that weak lead one of kild line has at a select polymetic surface service agents having the recited physical and chemical properties that provide the above betrefits is a chewire guitt premiation.

At the request of the Examiner attached is a copy of the abstract of the disclosure. Please note our records indicate that the application as originally filed included this abstract. C PATENT DIV. 513 622 3388 P.12/13

Appl. No. DARS1.465 Any Docker No. 7943M Arza. Dased Journay 33, 3004 Reply to Pinn Office Accion Dated December 3, 3003 Computer Number 27752

## CONCLUSION

Applicants have made an earnest effort to place their application in groper form and obstinguish their invention as now claimed from the applied price. IN CHEMPION IN CONTROL OF THE APPLIED OF THE APPL

January 23, 2004 Customer No. 27752